UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,362	07/07/2003	Christopher J. M. Meade	01-1363	7889
²⁸⁵¹⁹ MICHAEL P. N	7590 04/30/200 MORRIS	EXAMINER		
	NGELHEIM CORPO	SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G		
900 RIDGEBU P O BOX 368	K I KD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RIDGEFIELD,	CT 06877-0368	1614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/614,362	MEADE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Phyllis G. Spivack	1614		

	Phyllis G. Spivack	1614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extraorder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		_ 20.011/,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) thou the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8,35 and 37.		l be entered and an ex	ιplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>9-31 and 34</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	ı condition for allowan	ce because:
See Continuation Sheet.			
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
April 28, 2008	(D) III (C) (C) (C)		
April 28, 2008	/Phyllis G. Spivack/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1614	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Meissner teaches the administration of anticholinergic compounds of formula I wherein A forms an epoxy group, X- is an anion, such as chloride, bromide, or methanesulphonate, R1, R2 and R7 are methyl and the other R groups are hydrogen, to treat chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Podolsky teaches the administration of neurokinin receptor antagonists in the treatment of COPD. See page 12, claims 5, 16 and 28. Applicants argue there is no motivation to combine the references and that there is no motivation to combine an NK1 receptor antagonist with an anticholinergic. Applicants' arguments have been given careful consideration but are not found persuasive. References may be applied for all they teach. Therapy for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease conventionally requires the administration of multiple medicaments. The open language of the present claims allows for the inclusion of any number of additional active or inactive agents. Podolsky teaches mutiple drug therapy wherein a cholinergic receptor antagonist is specifically recited as a second agent to be combined with a neurokinin receptor antagonist (claim 16). The teaching includes all subtypes of neurokinin receptor antagonists. A treatment of lesions of the respiratory epithelium in a mammal will, in turn, treat chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Specific statements in the references that would spell out the claimed invention are not necessary to show obviousness since questions of obviousness involve not only what references expressly teach, but rather what they would collectively suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art